SINGLE BENCH

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR
WRIT PETITION (C) No. 209 /2007

PETITIONER : Daya Ram Dayal, S/O. Late Shri U.D. Dayal, Aged about : 58 years, R/O. House No. 34/737 Bayron Bazar, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 03/4/07 Sanjeev K. Sahu 1.2.2007

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through - The Secretary, Higher Education, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG/2073/96 31/1/07 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

2. Pt. Ravishanker Shukla University Raipur, Through : Registrar, Ravishanker Shukla University Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY 31/1/07 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

3. Head of the Department, Post Graduate, Laws Studies, Pt. Ravishanker Shukla University Raipur (C.G.)

(C)

(2)

4. Govt. Chhattisgarh Autonomus,

Post Graduate College, Raipur,

Through : Principal,

Bayron Bazar, Raipur,

Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/~~227~~ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUEANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ETC.

(50)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (C.) NO.209 OF 2007

Petitioner : Daya Ram Dayal

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Kishore Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Suryakant Mishra, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No.1.
None appears for other respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 13th Day of February, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, by a representation dated 9-1-2007 (P-8), has made an application to the Registrar, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur as to whether he is required to appear in LL.M. Part-I Examination, which he has already passed from other University, namely, A.P.S. University, Rewa. According to learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the representation is still pending consideration before the Registrar.

2. The Registrar, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur is accordingly directed to consider and decide the representation dated

9-1-2007 (P-8), in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks.

3. In view of the foregoing, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal